

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

**COPY MAILED** 

JUL 1 4 2005

BUSKOP LAW GROUP, P.C. 1776 YORKTOWN SUITE 550 HOUSTON TX 77056

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :

Maurine Pearson, et al. : DECISION ON PETITIONS
Application No. 10/614,925 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) AND
Filed: July 8, 2003 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6)

Attorney Docket No. 1067.08

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6), filed February 28, 2005, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. §§120 and 119(e) for the benefit of the prior-filed applications set forth in the concurrently filed amendment.

## The petition is **GRANTED**.

A petition for acceptance of a claim for late priority under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after the expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and 1.78(a)(5)(ii). In addition, the petition under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6) must be accompanied by:

- (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 119(e) and 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(2)(i) and 1.78(a)(5)(i) of the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;
- the surcharge set forth in § 1.17(t); and
- a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and 1.78(a)(5)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The application was filed on July 8, 2003 and was pending at the time of filing of the instant petition. A reference to the prior-filed applications has been included in an amendment to

the first sentence of the specification following the title, as required by 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(2)(iii) and 1.78(a)(5)(iii).

Additionally, intermediate nonprovisional application No. 09/915,470 was filed within twelve months of provisional Application No. 60/262,578, which was filed on July 26, 2001, for which priority is claimed. Accordingly, having found that the petition satisfies the conditions of 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6) for acceptance of an unintentionally delayed claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and § 119(e), the petition to accept an unintentionally delayed claim of benefit to the prior-filed applications is granted.

The granting of the petition to accept the delayed benefit claim to the prior-filed applications under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6) should not be construed as meaning that the instant application is entitled to the benefit of the filing date of the prior-filed applications. In order for the instant application to be entitled to the benefit of the prior-filed applications, all other requirements under 35 U.S.C. §120 and 1.78(a)(1) and (a)(2) and under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(4) and (a)(5) must be met. Similarly, the fact that the corrected Filing Receipt accompanying this decision on petition includes the prior-filed applications should not be construed as meaning that applicant is entitled to the claim for benefit of priority to the prior-filed applications noted thereon. Accordingly, the examiner will, in due course, consider this benefit claim and determine whether the instant application is entitled to the benefit of the earlier filing date.

A corrected Filing Receipt, which includes the priority claim to the prior-filed applications, accompanies this decision on petition.

Any questions concerning this matter may be directed to Sherry D. Brinkley at (571) 272-3204

This application is being forwarded to Technology Center Art Unit 2666 for appropriate action on the amendment submitted June 21, 2004, including consideration by the examiner of the claim under 35 U.S.C. § §120 and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) for the benefit of the prior-filed nonprovisional application, and for consideration of the claim under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5) for the benefit of the prior-filed provisional application.

Sherry D. Brinkley Petitions Examiner

Office of Petitions

Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy

Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy

ATTACHMENT: Corrected Filing Receipt